Fields, vectorial analysis

1. Definition and examples

When two electric charges are close enough they experience actions from each other. A similar phenomenon appears between two material bodies which attract each other. We can't say the interaction is established instantaneously (why not?). The actual model assumes that each body modifies in a specific way the region around it. We say that in the neighbor region *a field* appears.

Types of fields:

-	elastic	-	scalar
_	gravitational	-	vectorial

- electromagnetic.

You know that a positive charge Q will attract a negative one or repel another positive charge (what about the force upon itself?) denoted by q. Many of you know also the magnitude of the Coulomb force involved:

$$F = \frac{Qq}{4\pi\varepsilon r^2}.$$
 (1)

If Qq > 0 bodies move away, where a negative value of *F* implies attraction. Rather than saying that *Q* repels *q* directly, we say that *Q* affects the surrounding space by creating an *electric field*. The interaction is mediated by the field.

For the electric case, we define *the field strength* at a point, \vec{E} , as the force per unit charge that would act on a small positive test charge placed there. It is a vector, as the force is. Similar arguments hold for the gravitational field, where \vec{g} characterize it.

2. Work. Line integral

If a force is constant, work is defined by $W = \vec{F} \cdot \vec{r} = FR \cos \theta$. What if the force – or the field – varies? Elementary work is $\delta W = \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$. The total work made by the force when the body moves between points *A* and *B* is given by the *line integral* (or *path integral*):

$$W = \int_{A}^{B} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} \tag{2}$$

The integral depends on:

- the limits as in usual integral
- the integrand as in usual integral
- the actual curve between the two points unlike in usual integrals

How do we compute a path integral? See *Mathematical appendix "Path integrals"*. (not for the exam)

3. Field lines.

One helpful way of visualizing an electric (magnetic...) field is with *field lines*. Field lines are not real, but their pattern helps us to understand interactions inside the field. The magnetic field lines of a bar-magnet are given in Fig. 1. Magnetic lines get out *North pole* and enter *South pole*.



Fig. 1. Magnetic lines for a bar magnet.

Electric field lines come out + charges and enter – charges.



4. Flux. Gauss law.

Model: the electric field strength is represented by the "density" of the field lines – that is the number of lines per unit area perpendicular to the field lines



Fig.2. Field lines

$$E \propto \frac{\text{Number of field lines}}{\text{Area normal to the field}}$$
 (3)

The number of lines per unit area is the "density of lines". This number of field lines is in turn proportional to the charge producing the electric field,

number of lines
$$\propto Q$$
 (4)

It turns out that the proportionality factor is ε^{-1} , where ε is the material permittivity. If charges are spread in a non-uniform way the field is not constant, neither as strength nor as direction, but the quantity $\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S}$ has the same meaning, the local number of field lines. In the situation of Fig. 3 the charge Q is surrounded by a closed surface Σ .



Fig.3. Electric flux through a closed surface

The integral over the closed surface Σ of the quantity $\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S}$ is the electric flux:

$$\Phi_{electric} = \bigoplus_{\Sigma} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S}$$
⁽⁵⁾

The *Gauss law* (or the first Maxwell law) says that the electric flux equals the ratio of the total interior charge to the dielectric permittivity (ε_0 in vacuum):

$$\oint_{\Sigma} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \frac{Q_{total}}{\varepsilon_0}$$
(6)

The integral must be thought as the limit of the sum $\sum \vec{E} \cdot \Delta \vec{S}$. Electric lines begin in the positive charges and end in the negative ones.

5. The flux law for the magnetic field

The *magnetic lines are closed lines*; there are no magnetic mono-poles. The flux law for the magnetic induction is:

$$\oint \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{S} = 0 \tag{7}$$

whatever the closed surface.

6. Volume integral

Spherical coordinates are r, θ and φ , as in Fig. 4 below:



Fig. 4. Spherical coordinates (left) and spherical element of volume (right).

An element of volume of the sphere is the "spherical prism" with sides Δr , $r\Delta\theta$ and $r\sin\theta\Delta\varphi$:

$$\Delta V = r^2 \sin\theta dr d\theta d\varphi \tag{8}$$

The volume of the sphere with radius *R* is:

$$V = \iiint_{sphere} r^2 \sin\theta dr d\theta d\varphi = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \int_0^R r^2 dr = \frac{4}{3}\pi R^3$$
(9)

Remark: on Fig. 4 right you may see also "the element of surface" on the sphere:

$$\mathrm{d}S = r^2 \sin\theta \,\mathrm{d}\theta \,\mathrm{d}\varphi \tag{10}$$

The surface of the sphere will be:

$$S = \iint_{sphere} R^2 \sin \theta \,\mathrm{d}\theta \,\mathrm{d}\varphi = R^2 \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta \,\mathrm{d}\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi = 4\pi R^2 \qquad (11)$$

7. Divergence. Gauss-Ostrogradski law

The total charge of a body having a charge density defined by $\rho = \frac{\text{local charge}}{\text{unit of volume}}$ is given by:

$$Q = \iiint_{volume} \mathrm{d}Q = \iiint_{volume} \rho \mathrm{d}V \tag{12}$$

The divergence of a vector $\vec{E} - div\vec{E}$ – is defined as the limit of the ratio of the vector flux through a small closed surface enclosing the small volume ΔV and this volume:

$$div\vec{E} \equiv \nabla \vec{E} = \lim \frac{\oint \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S}}{\Delta V} \quad \text{when} \quad \Delta V \to 0 \quad (13)$$

Going to finite quantities we get the Gauss-Ostrogradski theorem:

$$\oint_{\Sigma} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S} = \int_{V_{\Sigma}} \nabla \vec{E} \, dV \tag{14}$$

where V_{Σ} is a volume closed by the surface Σ . From (6), (12) and (14) we get the **first Maxwell law** in local form:

$$\nabla \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \tag{15}$$

For the magnetic induction:

$$\nabla \vec{B} = 0 \tag{16}$$

8. Circulation. Curl. Stokes law

The *curl* (*rotor in Romanian*) is a vector whose projection upon the normal to a surface element is the limit of the ratio between the circulation over a closed curve Γ limiting the surface ΔS and this surface:

$$\left(curl\vec{E}\right)_{n} \equiv \left(\nabla \times \vec{E}\right) \cdot \vec{n} = \lim \frac{\Gamma}{\Delta S} \quad \text{when } \Delta S \to 0$$
(17)

Going to finite quantities we get the *Stokes theorem*:

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{S_{\Gamma}} \nabla \times \vec{E} \cdot d\vec{S}$$
(18)

The geometry – hat surface:



Fig. 5. Geometry of the Stokes relation