# Diffraction

1. Introduction

The deviation of a wave from rectilinear propagation, which occurs when a region of the wave front is obstructed, is called diffraction.

Is there any other situation when the wave departs from rectilinear propagation? Yes, we've already studied reflection and refraction. There is also the bend of light beams in inhomogeneous materials (responsible of the mirages, see Wikipedia). When do we have diffraction? Not when the obstacle has dimensions of the order of the wavelength, because diffraction shows up even at the edge of a semi-infinite screen. The important point is that for diffraction to appear the curvature radii of the obstacles must have dimensions of the order of the wavelength (Sommerfeld).

There is an approximate explanation of diffraction, based on the Huygens principle and on Fresnel's work and a rigorous theory initiated by Kirchhoff, much too difficult to treat.

From Wikipedia (slightly changed) *till* end

Diffraction arises because of the way in which waves propagate; this is described by the Huygens–Fresnel principle. *The propagation of a wave can be visualized by considering every point on a wavefront as a point source for a secondary spherical wave*. The subsequent propagation and addition of all these spherical waves form the new wavefront. When waves are added together, their sum is determined by the relative phases as well as the amplitudes of the individual waves, an effect which is often known as wave interference. The summed amplitude of the waves can have any value between zero and the sum of the individual amplitudes. Hence, diffraction patterns usually have a series of maxima and minima.

Diffraction through a hole in a screen, photo in a ripple tank; water waves move from upper left to lower right, bump into the obstacle with a hole in the middle of the figure. Spherical (cylindrical) waves result. For the ripple tank see fine simulations at http://www.falstad.com/ripple/

See esp. the Fourier applet http://www.falstad.com/fourier/

The form of a diffraction pattern can be determined from the sum of the phases and amplitudes of the Huygens wavelets at each point in space. There are various analytical models which can be used to do this including the Fraunhofer diffraction equation for the far field and the Fresnel diffraction equation for the near field end

There is little difference between interference and diffraction: *interference appears when the number of sources is finite, diffraction when it is infinite.*

2. The Fraunhoffer diffraction

When the distances of both the source and the point of observation from the aperture Σ are large, the wave front may be considered plane. The approximation is known as the *far field* or the *Fraunhoffer diffraction*.

Example 1. Far field diffraction by a slit

The slit has width b in the Y-direction and is illuminated by a hpw. The problem is 1D. One can show that the electric field in the direction given by ϑ is

$$E(\theta) = E_0 b \frac{\sin(\pi b \sin \vartheta / \lambda)}{\pi b \sin \vartheta / \lambda} = \Psi_0 b \frac{\sin \delta}{\delta} \qquad \text{(D1)}$$

The corresponding intensity is:

$$I(\theta) = I_0 \left(\frac{\sin \delta}{\delta} \right)^2 \qquad \text{(D2)}$$

Example 2. Diffraction grating.

The intensity diffracted by N slits is a composition of relations (I10) and (D2):

$$I = I_0 \left(\frac{\sin \dfrac{kb\sin\theta}{2}}{\dfrac{kb\sin\theta}{2}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\sin \dfrac{Nkd\sin\theta}{2}}{\sin \dfrac{kd\sin\theta}{2}} \right)^2 \tag{D3}$$

Remember: b is the width of each slit, d is the distance between two slits. The figure is draw for $N=5$.

In 2D (*Wikipedia*):	

3. Fresnel diffraction

If the source and the observation point are close to the obstacle we deal with near field or Fresnel diffraction. The explanation of the diffraction pattern is made using the construction made first by Fresnel. In the following figure S is a point-like source, P is the observation point and r_0 is the distance between P and the wave front reaching the aperture. The Fresnel method is applied if S and P are close to the axis and if $\lambda << r_0$, R, dimensions of the aperture.


Fresnel's construction

front view

Fresnel zones

With the center in the observation point P draw the sphere of radius r_0 and then successive spheres with radii $r_0 + \lambda/2,\ r_0 + 2\lambda/2,\ r_0 + 3\lambda/2,\ ...,r_0 + m\lambda/2$, until all the surface of the aperture is covered. These spheres produce on the wave front surface a family pf spherical zones called *Fresnel zones*.

Remark: Spherical zones are actually bordered by planes, not by spheres, but if $\lambda \ll r_0$, R, dimensions of the aperture the constructed spheres may be approximated with planes.

The reason for this construction is that the path difference between beams originating from adjacent Fresnel zones differs by $\lambda/2$ and then contributions from adjacent zones have opposed phases. As we shall demonstrate, Fresnel zones have almost equal areas. Therefore their contributions in P are almost equal, except for the obliquity factor, diminishing from the center toward the border. But the contribution from the p'th zone equals half the sum of contributions from its neighbors: $E_p = -\dfrac{E_{p-1} + E_{p+1}}{2}$. The minus appears from the $\lambda/2$ path difference.

Problem: Show that areas of different Fresnel zones are almost equal. *Hint*: the area of a spherical zone is given by the following argument (from *Mathematica)*: the solution until the end

5

The [surface area](#) of a [spherical segment](#). Call the [radius](#) of the [sphere](#) R, the upper and lower [radii](#) b and a, respectively, and the height of the [spherical segment](#) h. The zone is a [surface of revolution](#) about the [z-axis](#), so the [surface area](#) is given by

$$S = 2\pi \int x \sqrt{1 + x'^2} \, dz. \tag{1}$$

In the xz-plane, the equation of the zone is simply that of a [circle](#),

$$x = \sqrt{R^2 - z^2}, \tag{2}$$

so

$$x' \quad = \quad -z\left(R^2 - z^2\right)^{-1/2} \tag{3}$$

$$x'^2 \quad = \quad \frac{z^2}{R^2 - z^2}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$S \quad = \quad 2\pi \int_{\sqrt{R^2-a^2}}^{\sqrt{R^2-b^2}} \sqrt{R^2 - z^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{z^2}{R^2 - z^2}} \, dz \tag{5}$$

$$= \quad 2\pi R \int_{\sqrt{R^2-a^2}}^{\sqrt{R^2-b^2}} dz \tag{6}$$

$$= \quad 2\pi R \left(\sqrt{R^2 - b^2} - \sqrt{R^2 - a^2} \right) \tag{7}$$

$$= \quad 2\pi R h. \tag{8}$$

This result is somewhat surprising since it depends only on the *height* of the zone, not its vertical position with respect to the sphere

The result is: $S = 2\pi R h$, where R is the radius of the wave-front sphere and h is the height of the zone. Let's compute the area of the spherical cap with height $h=OA$ and radius $\rho_j = AQ$ containing j zones (actually j-1 zones and the cap) (see e.g. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/SphericalCap.html)

The area is $S_j = 2\pi R h$. From triangles SAQ and PAQ we find: $h = \dfrac{r_j^2 - r_0^2}{2(R + r_0)}$.

But $r_j = r_0 + j\dfrac{\lambda}{2}$. Hence $r_j^2 - r_0^2 = jr_0\lambda + j^2\left(\dfrac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \approx jr_0\lambda$. The height becomes

$h = j\dfrac{r_0}{R + r_0}\dfrac{\lambda}{2}$ and the area $S_j = j\dfrac{2\pi R r_0}{R + r_0}\dfrac{\lambda}{2}$. The area of the j'th Fresnel zone is

$\Delta S_j = S_j - S_{j-1} = \dfrac{\pi R r_0}{R + r_0}\lambda$. It does not depend on j, so it is the same for all Fresnel

zones. the end

The amplitudes of the fields arriving in P are different only concerning r_j and the obliquity factor; the radius R is the same for all and their areas are equal. As the order j increases, so do the distance r_j and the angle, so we expect the electric field contribution to decrease steadily. Assume

$$E_j = \frac{E_{j-1} + E_{j+1}}{2} \qquad (D13)$$

Compute the total field in P:

$$E(P) = \frac{E_1}{2} + \left(\frac{E_1}{2} - E_2 + \frac{E_3}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{E_3}{2} - E_4 + \frac{E_5}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{E_5}{2} - E_6 + \frac{E_7}{2}\right) + ...(-1)^{m-1}\frac{E_m}{2}$$

Or, because the brackets are close to zero:

$$E(P) = \frac{E_1}{2} + (-1)^{m-1}\frac{E_m}{2} \qquad (D14)$$

Applications: see the lectures.